

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/994,948	Applicant(s) MILOVANOVIC ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth Tang	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Carlton H. Hoel (Reg. No. 29,934).

Date of Interview: 13 September 2006

Status of Application: After Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
NA

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to delete the last paragraph of the Specification because it was incomplete and there was no intention by the Applicant to have that last paragraph.


Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)